Proposals for the formation of one unit in each Dt

(6)

App. F. IX-4

Previous references.

PHOE (San) 1930-31

File No. 68-30

Serial Nos. 3 + 4, 5, 6, 7.

Later references.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Par B for December 33

SUBJECT.

Contributions payable by Village Panchayats of Mandya, Closepet & Channapatna Taluks towards the maintenance of Rural Health units

150

6149

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 1930-31

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Santung

NOTES

File No. 68-30

FILE HEADING.

Establishment of Rural Health Units in the State.

FROM

The Director of Health.

No. C.70-4 Dated 26.3.1931 Received 28.3.31

Diary No. 6149.	Enclos 2	Spare copies —	Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards with his recommendations Dr. Smith's letter ~~about~~ in original about the working of the Rural Health units at the rate of one in each district & the draft gen agreement with the Rockefeller Foundation in the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Chief Secretary's order.
Register & put up

Ltd N.M. 27/3.

1) Submitted with the reference file
H.M. N.M. 30/3/31 3/ Is there any information in this office about (A) refd to at the end of Dr. Smith's letter?

AD 31/3

Notes—First Sheet.

L.S.G. Br ~~noted~~ for Rowley Jones in my absence

WD 02552—GPB—5,000—10-7-30

6145

File No. Law 68 of 1930. General and Revenue Secretariat

A copy of the District Board resolution on the matter is placed below.

31/3/31

5) So far as the Bangalore D.B. is concerned, they are agreeable to contribute a sum of Rs 5000 for the Health Unit. But there is no info: whether the other Distt: Boards & the panchayats can furnish their share of the cost.

Perhaps a copy of Dr. Sweet's letter may be forwarded to the other 6 Distt: Boards & the Rev: Comm: for their views about making the requisite sum available at the proper time.

In the last parag: of his letter, Dr. Sweet, however, suggests that "the details of the participation of the Panchayats & D. Bds may be settled later, should the Govt find itself able to guarantee the progress of the scheme as it stands."

There is already a Health Unit for a part of the Krandya taluk towards which the R. D. has been contributing Rs 5,000. This contribution ~~will~~ ceased from [illegible] 1931 [illegible] the Mysore D. B. ~~should be~~ prepared to contribute Rs. 5,000, & the Panchayats another Rs 5000.

Telegram from Secretary to Government, No. 48 [illegible]-S.

* Excerpt typed

J

H.W

The rest of expense is at pres borne entirely by Under Dr. Sweet's proposal, if it is to continue it ing the whole [illegible]

Serial No.

But the Mysore D.B. have already stated that they are unable to contribute anything. Hence, the question of proceeding the scheme as it is, has to be considered.

9) (The R.F. will contribute Rs 20,000 during the 1st year against Rs 35,600 req: for two units.).

For orders.

2/4

Chief Secy)

10) The Bangalore District Board having consented to the introduction of the Scheme in Channapatna & Closepet Talukas, Govt should, I think, be prepared to contribute their share of the cost in respect of this unit. It is not perhaps necessary to make provision for this in next years budget as it will take some time to obtain the consent of the Village Panchayats regarding their quota.

11) Beyond this, it does not seem feasible for Govt to undertake any commitments in respect of the scheme at the present time

4/4/31

To Fin Secy with compliments for favour of opinion

WD 02553--50,000—GPB—8-7 30

6.4.31 12/9

OFFICE OF THE
THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
20 APR. 1931
No. 7163

~~would~~ would defer consideration of the proposal made in para 10 for ~~his~~ early.

After the next years budget programme is settled we may be in a position to gauge if it is possible to ~~discuss~~ finance the Bengalee Dist ~~Unit~~. The returns of Excise duty are very disappointing and if there is any large permanent decrease in this important source of revenue, revision of existing policy will be inevitable.

15.4.31.

Hd

Hd

(Third Member) 20/4/31

13) But meanwhile as explained by the Chairman we may put aside 6 lakhs and see to what extent we can depend upon V.P.'s for contributions towards the scheme

21/4/31

Hd

21/4

ıl and Revenue
ecretariat

File No. Sen 68 of 1930

Serial No.

14) Subd It is seen from the linked file that the contribution payable by the Village Panchayats on the basis of 15% of their income is as noted below :—

No	Taluk	Rs
1.	Mandya Taluk	2700
2.	Channapatna Taluk	1470
3.	Closepet Taluk	1221
	Total	5391

The resolution of the several Village Panchayats agreeing to bear the cost has not been received.

Submitted for orders

Dy Secy 22/4/31

15) The Channapatna & Closepet taluk panchayats have together to contribute Rs 5,562 against which the contribution calculated at 15% of their income amounts to barely Rs 2,691 It is not also reported whether these panchayats are prepared to transfer these funds to for the work of the Unit.

(2) A reply is also due to the Director of Health.

22/4

Chief Secy)

17) An enquiry may be made by or caused by the Hon Member as to whether contributions from V. Bs

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 APR. 1931

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

may be relied on at least to the extent mentioned

23/4/31 dd

(9) Draft submitted.

24/4/31

24/4

2o

The Revenue Commr.

G. letter of 27-9-31

Enquires whether the contributions payable from the Village Panchayats can be relied on at least to the extent mentioned in the Memorandum.

27/4/31

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Expansion of Rural Health Units

Chief Secretary's order on the minute sheet:—

"Put up the file & note if any action is necessary"

Sd/- K M
13/5

This question has been with Govt Secrett' note received in September 1929. Govt have ~~directed~~ ordered G.O. no G 6680-730/Fan 55-27-34 G 28.11.1929 that the Director may suggest suitable measures to enable Govt to establish a minimum of about 6 Rural Health Units per year.

The question was considered at a committee meeting held in May 1930 & it was proposed to ~~start a~~ extend the unit in Mandya area i.e. for only half a taluk & the whole of that taluk & to form a unit for the Channapatna Kolar etc taluks. Statements showing the contribution payable from Village Panchayats have been received & the Rev Comr. has been requested to state whether the Villages &

are agreeable to pay the same. The District Boards are not agreeable to pay their contribution

Rbd for orders whether the further reply of the Rev. Comr, may be awaited & the question pursued (Refer Chiefs note at para 17)

17/6 WR

A reminder has to issue to the Rev. Comr: (The whole question depends upon the consent of the V. Bs to pay)

20/6

Draft submitted.

WR 22/6

Issue 23/6

Dem. No. 1850 of 26-6-31.
From the Rev. Commissioner,
No. C. 4515/29-30 dt 16.10.1931

States that it is ascertained from D) Coorg, Bangalore Dt the Village Panchayets of Closepet Ramanagaram Taluks can pay contributions to the extent mentioned in the list already forwarded.

Subd. The receipt of the information from the ~~D/Coorg~~ Bangalore Dt will be awaited

If appd WR 28/ Yes. 28

G 1491 · 958 ✓ 2680 ✓

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. Fam 6 8 of 1931.

Serial No.	
6	From the Dist Collr in Mysore no. C 4515/30-31 of 16.11.1931

Forwards a copy of the letter of 27.10.1931 from the Dy Comr, Mysore stating that the seven hoblis of the former Mandya taluk were split up into two talukes Mandya (4 hobli) & Maddur (3 hobli), two villages under pt of the present Mandya taluk will be included & that a separate report will be sent regarding the Constitution of the Panchayats in the present Maddur Taluk.

29) May the receipt of the further reply be awaited?

Sd/ Illegible
22/11/31

30) Yes. A reminder to issue on the first week of Dec.

Sd/
25. 11. 31

31) Sub with a view

Sd/ Illegible
4/12

Sd/ Illegible
4/12/31

Issued no 4.738 of 27.7.32

Ly A
Sd
25/11

TYPING SECTION
5. DEC. 1931

Jurisdiction of Rural Health Units in the Mysore & Bangalore Dts. that contributions payable by local bodies in the name

File No. Sar 68 of 1930 | 2530v 614 | General and Revenue Secretariat

From the Revenue Commissioner, Mysore
No C 4575/29-30 & 2744 8.32

States that the Dy Com'r Mysore reported that the Village Panchayats Madhugiri taluk have pleaded inability to pay their contributions to the Rural Health Unit & prayed they maybe excused from the same as their resources are slender.

Subd for perusal.

It is further stated in the letter that the Dy Com'r, Mysore, has suggested that the payment of the contributions can be enforced under section 47 of the Village Panchayats Regn. & the Revenue Commissioner has made a further reference in the matter. May the receipt of the further reply in the be awaited?

In view of the issue of Go No 4. R.D.-24/P.H.29-32-3 & 18.8.32 about the formation of a committee to make recommendations in the matter of extending P.H. Units, this will perhaps be kept in Sus. A. if approved

JMM 25/8/32 JMM 25.8.32 26/8/32

The question was further considered in P.H. file 29-32-3 & was ordered to lie over from time to time pending action by the Govt of India.

JMM 24/9/33.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

J. Y. KARVE, M.B., Ch.B., D.P.H
Director of Health.

W. C. SWEET, B.Sc., M.D., C.P.H.,
Rockefeller Foundation
Consultant in Health.

Telephone No. 340
Telegram: HEALTH

"GRACE VILLE," PALACE ROAD,

Bangalore, (S. India), dated... 1931.

GENERAL 6149 CONFIDENTIAL

e 710-4

Encl.

From

Dr. J.V.Karve, M.B., Ch.B., (Edin), D.P.H., (Lond),
Director of Health with the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

To

Sa. 68-30-3

M.Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. G. 9727 dated the 19th March 1931 enclosing copy of your letter No. G. 9726/Dany. 68-30-2 of the 19th instant addressed to Dr. Sweet, in the matter of establishing Health Units in the State and asking me to examine the proposal so as to provide for maximum amount of useful work within the limited grants made available by Government, I have the honour to state that subsequent to the meetings with the Dewan and the Member, Dr. Sweet and I have considered the possibility of a workable scheme within the limits of reasonable expenditure. I enclose herewith for favour of perusal of Government a draft scheme drawn up by Dr. Sweet.

The proposals outlined in the scheme provide for 8 taluk units, one in each district. This will be taken up in a certain order and would be completed in the course of the next 3 or 4 years, commencing with 1931-32. The cost will be shared by the Panchayets, District Boards and the State. Assuming that a 3 year programme will be adopted, the total average funds to be provided for 1931-32 for 2 units would be 35,600, for 1932-33 for 4 units Rs. 64,000 for 1933-34 for 8 units 1,17,200.

If Government are pleased to sanction this programme Dr. Sweet proposes to place the plans before the Rockefeller Foundation with a view to persuade that body to make partial re-imbursement of the amount spent on the establishment and

maintenance of the 8 demonstration Rural Health Units on a decending scale of annual contributions from July 1952.

I request therefore that you will be so good as to obtain early orders of Government for the scheme.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

KAJ

Director of Health

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

J. V. KARVE, M.B., Ch.B., D.P.H.,
Director of Health.

W. C. SWEET, B.Sc., M.D., C.P.H.,
Rockefeller Foundation
Consultant in Health.

Telephone No. 340
Telegram: HEALTH

No. Ch 1470

Encl.

"GRACE VILLE," PALACE ROAD,

Bangalore, (S. India), dated March 24/25 *1931*.

Dear Sir,

Further to my letter No. 1331 of 17th March 1931 in regard to a co-operative programme between the Government of Mysore and the Rockefeller Foundation in establishing Rural Health Units in Taluks, it seems wise in view of the conferences the Dewan and the Member of Council had with Dr. Heiser to suggest certain modified proposals for the consideration of Government. Also please see the Chief Secretary's letter to me No. G. 9726/Sany. 68-30-2 dated 19th March 1931 and Memo No. G. 9727 dated 19th March 1931 to the Director of Health.

Instead of the nineteen units of the earlier proposal it now seems best to consider the establishment of one unit per District within the next three or four years. These units would serve as demonstration centres and to have one in each District would be better than to concentrate them more closely.

After consideration, the Director of Health and I have picked out eight Taluks, one in each District Since the expense of these units depends on the number of Hoblis per Taluk, the following financial data are based on the eight Taluks selected. As was pointed out in my Memorandum on Health Organization in Mysore State, the average cost of these Units is Rs.5,200/- per Taluk and Rs.1,800/- additional for each hobli. The number of hoblis and the total

average cost per Unit are given in the following table.

Number of Hoblis and Total Average Cost per Unit in Proposed Taluks.

Taluk.	No. of Hoblis.	COST		
		Taluk.	Hoblis.	Total.
1. Mandya .	7	5,200	12,600	17,800
2. Chennapatna Closepet .	7	5,200	12,600	17,800
3. Kunigal .	5	5,200	9,000	14,200
4. Belur .	5	5,200	9,000	14,200
5. Kadur-Birur .	6	5,200	10,800	16,000
6. Honnali .	3	5,200	5,400	10,600
7. Chalakere .	4	5,200	7,200	12,400
8. Chintamani .	5	5,200	9,000	14,200

According to the Memorandum mentioned above the funds for these Units are expected to come from three sources, the Panchayets of the Taluk concerned, the District Board and the State. The following table gives these amounts for the average cost of the units in the selected Taluks. It should be pointed out that with the exception of Channapatna all the minor municipalities of these Taluks will be included in the plans on the same basis as panchayets and will therefore contribute their due share towards the expenses. In addition any of these municipalities whkch are over 5,000 in population will be expected to furnish, at least, one extra

Assistant Sanitary Inspector on Rs.30-2-50. Channapatna is too large to be included in any rural health scheme and will come under the municipal area plans. A reference to the attached list of Panchayets of the Mandya Taluk, furnished last year by the Amildar, will give an idea of the system suggested for recovery from Panchayets of their due proportion of the cost of the Units.

Source of Funds for Proposed Units.

Name of Taluk.	Total Average Cost.	SOURCE OF FUNDS		
		Pancha-yets.	District Boards.	State.
Mandya ..	17,800	5,562	5,563	6,675
Closepet & Chennapatna.	17,800	5,562	5,563	6,675
Kunigal ..	14,200	4,437	4,438	5,325
Belur ..	14,200	4,437	4,438	5,325
Kadur ..	16,000	5,000	5,000	6,000
Honnalli ..	10,600	3,312	3,313	3,975
Challakere ..	12,400	3,875	3,875	4,650
Chintamani ..	14,200	4,437	4,438	5,325

Should Government consider the above proposals favourably I would be glad to submit suggestions on the following lines to Dr. Heiser for the consideration of the Rockefeller Foundation. Such a proposal should be sent in as soon as possible so that definite action may be possible at the times mentioned.

Government to agree:-

1. To continue in force the existing sanitary engineering agreement with the Rockefeller Foundation.

2. To push on the organization of the other Bureaus of the Department of Health as rapidly as possible.

3. To adhere to its health fellowship programme as announced in Government Order No. G. 7033-5/Sany. 53-29-2 dated the 27th December 1929.

4. To provide the following average sums for the establishment and permanent maintenance of eight Rural Health Units and to proceed with the programme at the rate mentioned. (Please note that these financial arrangements depend on the Units being established in the Taluks and in the order given in Table 1.)

Financial Year.	Number of New Units.	Total Number of Units.	Total average Funds to be Budgeted.
1931-32 ..	2	2	35,600
1932-33 ..	2	4	64,000
1933-34 ..	4	8	1,17,200
1934-35 ..	0	8	1,17,200
Thereafter.	as many as possible.	-	at least 1,17,200

The Rockefeller Foundation to agree:-

1. To continue in force the existing sanitary engineering agreement with the Government of Mysore.

2. To offer not more than two foreign fellowships to men

suitable for positions as heads of Bureaus other than the Sanitary Engineering Bureau.

3. To continue to place the services of a Consultant in Health and a Sanitary Engineer at the disposal of Government until December 31, 1935, except for such periods as the appointees may be on regular leave.

4. To pay the following amounts to Government at the times stated in partial reimbursement of the amounts spent by Government on the establishment and maintenance of eight experimental Rural Health Units.

YEAR.		AMOUNT DUE.
July, 1932	..	Rs. 20,000
July, 1933	..	Rs. 15,000
July, 1934	..	Rs. 15,000
July, 1935	..	Rs. 10,000

In view of the necessity of laying these plans before the Rockefeller Foundation, it seems wise to ask Government to consider the matter as early as possible. The details of the participation of the Panchayets and District Boards in the scheme may be settled later should Government find itself able to guarantee the progress of the scheme as it stands. I should like to draw attention to the fact that the Bangalore District Board has already voted Rs.5,000/- towards its share of the expense of the Channapatna-Closepet Unit for the first year.

Yours sincerely,

W. C. Sweet,
Consultant in Health.

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary
to Government, BANGALORE.

25/5/31

(THROUGH: THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.)

Contributions of Individual Panchayets to Average Cost of Rural Health Unit.

MANDYA TALUK

Serial Number	Name of Panchayet.	Annual Income	% of Total Income.	Contribution to Rl.Health Unit	Serial Number.	Name of Panchayet	Annual Income	% of Total Income	Contribution to Rl.Health Unit
		Rs		Rs			Rs		Rs
1.	Chickmandya. ..	223	0.6	33	52.	Gonakabal Hoohally.	66	0.2	11
2.	Maregowdanahally...	361	0.9	50	53.	Basaral. ..	376	1.0	56
3.	Kallahalli ..	220	0.6	33	54.	J. Ankusapura. *	42	0.1	6
4.	Doddabanasavady. ..	90	0.2	11	55.	Modachakanahally.	225	0.6	33
5.	Hanchahally ..	61	0.2	11	56.	Mudagandoor.	350	0.9	50
6.	Upparakanahally. ..	88	0.2	11	57.	Bommanahally. ..	98	0.3	16
7.	Kannalli ..	257	0.7	39	58.	G. Malligere ..	209	0.5	28
8.	Hosahally. ..	129	0.3	16	59.	Kebbahally. ..	50	0.1	6
9.	Keelara ..	346	0.9	50	60.	Maradipur ..	81	0.2	11
10.	Ummadahally ..	202	0.5	28	61.	Malanaskanakatta.	93	0.2	11
11.	Bolidegal. ..	92	0.2	11	62.	Mallenahally ..	84	0.2	11
12.	B. Hosur. ..	82	0.2	11	63	Hullenahally ..	200	0.5	28
13.	Kodahally. ..	38	0.05	3	64.	Belagulli ..	139	0.4	22
14.	Gudigenihally. ..	124	0.3	16	65.	H. Malligere ..	179	0.5	28
15	Chowkanahally. ..	161	0.4	22	66.	Beechanahally...	57	0.1	6
16.	Gopalpur. ..	93	0.2	11	67.	Holaloo ..	283	0.7	39
17.	Karegodu ..	642	1.7	94	68.	Habna. ..	64	0.2	11
18.	Hulivana ..	161	0.4	22	69.	Billenhalli ..	80	0.2	11
19.	Kommarhally. ..	179	0.5	28	70.	Sowdenahally ..	60	0.1	6
20.	Thunbegere ..	52	0.1	6	71.	Madda. ..	56	0.1	6
21.	Bellindigere ..	53	0.1	6	72.	Honnemadu ..	85	0.2	11
22.	Satanur ..	370	1.0	56	73.	Hulikere ..	187	0.5	28
23.	Chamalapura ..	27	0.05	3	74.	Sivalli ..	172	0.5	28
24.	Eachegere ..	57	0.1	6	75.	Beverkal ..	216	0.6	33
25.	Jeegundipatna ..	46	0.1	6	76.	Bettahally ..	92	0.2	11
26.	Panakanahally ..	119	0.3	16	77.	Sanboonahally ..	30	0.05	6
27.	Hodaghatta ..	70	0.2	11	78.	Dudda ..	159	0.4	22
28.	S. Alkere * ..	165	0.4	22	79.	Karragandoor ..	105	0.3	16
29.	S. Hanakere.* ..	315	0.8	44	80.	Chandigal ..	152	0.4	22
30.	Inam Kodahally. ..	97	0.2	11	81.	Ganadal ..	85	0.2	11
31.	S. K. Gowdagere *..	207	0.5	28	82.	Maramchakanatty.	111	0.3	16
32.	J. Kachegere * ..	53	0.1	6	83.	Maradevanahally.	38	0.05	3
33.	S. B. Gowdigere *..	240	0.6	33	84.	Avvarahally ..	66	0.2	11
34.	K. Honganahally *..	37	0.05	3	85.	S. Goravale.* ..	192	0.5	28
35.	Doddagurudanahally.	128	0.3	16	86.	Haluvady ..	109	0.3	16
36.	Hallegere ..	318	0.8	44	87.	Mothahalli ..	125	0.3	16
37.	Kambadahally. ..	274	0.7	39	88.	Lokasara ..	145	0.4	22
38.	Karekatte ..	87	0.2	11	89.	Yeliyur ..	246	0.6	33
39.	Thaverekere ..	61	0.2	11	90.	Thaggahally ..	154	0.4	22
40.	Muddanaghatta ..	54	0.1	6	91.	Guttaloo ..	571	1.5	83
41.	Harakadahally ..	69	0.2	11	92.	Kyathemgere ..	120	0.3	16
42.	Hanaganahally. ..	194	0.5	28	93.	Hulkere ..	69	0.2	11
43.	Chikkabali ..	275	0.7	39	94.	Kottatti. ..	252	0.7	39
44.	Chakanahalli. ..	103	0.3	16	95.	Mangala ..	118	0.3	16
45	Sivapur. ..	273	0.7	39	96.	Hebbakavadi ..	103	0.3	16
46.	Bebi ..	152	0.4	22	97.	Malligere ..	74	0.2	11
47.	Humuganahally. ..	173	0.5	28	98.	Kabbanhally. ..	37	0.05	3
48	Rayasettipura ..	144	0.4	22	99.	Halahally ..	144	0.4	22
49.	Bannahatti ..	140	0.4	22	100.	Buthana Hosur...	107	0.3	16
50.	Naragalu ..	150	0.4	22	101.	Sundahally. ..	168	0.4	22
51.	Muthegere ..	178	0.5	28	102.	Indival. ..	143	0.4	22

* K = Kayamgutta. S = Sarvamanya. J = Jodi. I = Inam.

Contributions of Individual Panchayets to Average Cost of Rural Health Unit.

MANDYA TALUK. (Contd.)

Serial Number	Name of Panchayet	Annual Income	% of Total Income.	Contribution to Rl.Health Unit
		Rs		Rs
103.	Cheernahally ..	159	0.4	22
104.	Kalanahally ..	92	0.2	11
105.	Ragimaddanahally...	75	0.2	11
106.	Urmarakesallegere..	135	0.4	22
107.	Yelechakanahally...	296	0.8	44
108.	Nodekoppal. ..	44	0.1	6
109.	Bevinahally. ..	144	0.4	22
110.	Soonaganahally. ..	200	0.5	28
111.	S. Hennige.* ..	63	0.2	11
112.	S. Belur.* ..	149	0.4	22
113.	S. Santhekesclegere.	323	0.8	44
114.	Halahally. ..	137	0.4	22
115.	Kothanahally. ..	187	0.5	28
116.	Mudigere ..	184	0.5	28
117.	Somanahally ..	101	0.3	16
118.	Kowdalai ..	426	1.1	61
119.	Haralakere. ..	197	0.5	28
120.	Biderkote ..	286	0.7	39
121.	Konasale. ..	208	0.5	28
122.	Besagarahally ..	440	1.1	61
123.	Koppa ..	432	1.1	61
124.	Chickanahally ..	157	0.4	22
125.	Keelaghatta. ..	107	0.3	16
126.	Bekkalele ..	334	0.9	50
127.	Nilluvagal ..	152	0.4	22
128.	Nambinaikanahally.	165	0.4	22
129.	Gulur ..	140	0.4	22
130.	Malligere. ..	154	0.4	22
131.	Yedavanahally. ..	170	0.5	28
132	Maraliga. ..	177	0.5	28
133.	Kodahally. ..	119	0.3	16
134	D.Hosahally. ..	63	0.2	11
135.	Belathoor ..	126	0.3	16
136	Hasagavi ..	232	0.6	33
137	Karangoor ..	100	0.3	16
138	Hurugalavady ..	71	0.2	11
139	Hosakere. ..	170	0.5	28
140	Thaggahally. ..	217	0.6	33
141	S. Rampur. ..	70	0.2	11
142.	K. Abalavady. ..	210	0.6	33
143.	Jodikuntanahally...	15	0.05	3
144	Bellur. ..	184	0.5	28
145.	Chennegowdanadoddi.	67	0.2	11
146	Dadaga. ..	42	0.1	6
147.	Haluganahally ..	65	0.2	11
148	Honnatigere ..	485	1.2	67
149	Somanahally. ..	128	0.3	16
150	Somapur. ..	91	0.2	11
151	Vaidyanathpur ..	107	0.3	16
152	Channasandra. ..	62	0.2	11
153.	Neelakantanahally.	77	0.2	11
154.	Budeguppe. ..	63	0.2	11
155.	Nagarekere ..	13	0.4	22
156.	Gorvanahally ..	81	0.2	11
157	Huligerepura. ..	57	0.1	6
158.	Ajjahally ..	69	0.2	11
159.	Chamanahally ..	392	1.0	56
160.	Kodahally ..	63	0.2	11
161.	Chunchegahally ..	75	0.2	11
162.	Sadalaloo ..	112	0.3	16
163.	Kabbare ..	60	0.1	6
164.	Hagalahally. ..	118	0.3	16
165.	Alur. ..	104	0.3	16
166.	Desihally ..	150	0.4	22
167.	Valagarahally. ..	335	0.9	50
168.	Sollepura. ..	68	0.2	11
169.	Borapura ..	60	0.1	6
170.	Gajjelegere ..	190	0.5	28
171.	Kudargundi. ..	128	0.3	16
172.	K. Hulikere.* ..	74	0.2	11
173.	K. Byadrahally * ..	53	0.1	6
174.	K. Uppinakere.* ..	98	0.3	16
175.	Haranakanahally. ..	196	0.5	28
176.	Machally. ..	102	0.3	16
177	Hebbaraloo ..	129	0.3	16
178.	Nidhigatta ..	361	0.9	50
179.	Thippur ..	210	0.6	33
180.	Huthagere. ..	192	0.5	28
181.	Alamsettihally. ..	133	0.4	22
182.	Hemmanahally ..	149	0.4	22
183.	Thorisettihally. ..	146	0.4	22
184.	Navile. ..	92	0.2	11
185.	Kadalur ..	286	0.7	39
186.	Dodda Ankanahally..	106	0.3	16
187.	Thylar. ..	159	0.4	22
188.	Yeraganahally ..	55	0.1	6
189.	Dundarahally ..	129	0.3	16
190.	Mallanekuppe. ..	84	0.2	11
191.	Hanumanthapur ..	66	0.2	11
192.	Athagur ..	185	0.5	28
193.	Mallanaickanahalli.	60	0.1	6
194.	Byalakere ..	61	0.1	6
195.	S. Kastur. ..	369	1.0	56
196.	Budanur. ..	550	1.5	83
197.	Honaganahally ..	131	0.4	22
198.	Maraligahally ..	125	0.3	16
	Mandya Municipality. ..	5358	14.0	834
	Maddur Municipality. ..	2499	6.5	362

Kayamgutta. S = Sarvamanya. J = Jodi. I = Inam.

Proceedings of the adjourned meeting of the Bangalore District Board held on the 18.10.1930

---

13. Letter no. 13702-3/San 28-29-3 of 21st May 1930 from the Chief Secretary to Govt. inviting the attention of the Board to the offer of Rs 5,000 a year towards the cost of the Rural Health Unit proposed to be established for the Taluks of Channapatna & Closepet.

Resolution of the Health Committee:- This should be linked with the subject no 15 (formation of a Road Fund) and the subject is recommended for sanction and subject no 15 to be dropped at present as the Rural Health Unit scheme if to be gradually extended for the whole of the District.

Resolution:- Approved the recommendation of the Health Committee.

Sd/-
Sd. H.R. Gururao Reddy.
Vice President, District Board

HRR
31/3/31

Appendix F G -1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 6469

Section San
File No. 18-30
Serial No. 4
No. G. 10728.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193 .

To
The Revenue

Date of issue 27/4/31.

Signed by Mr. N. D. Rao

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no C. 4575/29-30 G dt. 4.4.1931 about the contribution payable by ~~village~~ Village Panchayats of Mandya, Closepet, and Channapatna Taluks towards the maintenance of Rural Health Units, I am directed to enquire whether the contri-

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

Contributions payable from the
village Panchayats can be related
on at least to the extent mentioned in
the statements forwarded by you

Accounts Officer

Asst Comptroller

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Sany

File No. 68-30

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. G. 12650

To The Rev Commr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 26. 6. 31 On

Signed by Mr. N. Deva Rao

In inviting refce to this office letter No. 10728 of 27-4-31 enquiring if the contribution payable from the Village Panchayats can be relied on ~~at least~~ to the extent stated ~~therein~~ ~~enforced in your etc~~ I am directed to request you to be so good as to expedite ~~the reply~~. Yours &c.

I have &c

Sd Chief Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Lany

File No. 68-20

Serial No. R

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 4/1576

To The Rev Commr

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~the meeting~~ reference to this office in the matter of the letter No. 10728 of 27-6-31 ~~enquiring of the~~ contribution payable on account of the Rural Health Units ~~from~~ for the Village Panchayats ~~can be collected from the above~~ and ~~what steps are being taken~~ to request you to be so good as to let the date your reply.

I have the

honour

Your Good Self

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 17/5/31

Signed by P. V. Ramakrishna Rao

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 1-7-31

Examined by.....

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 25/9/31

Signed by Mir Asad

No. G. 26202

To The Rev Comm

I am directed to invite refere
to Mis Spice No. 10728 of 27-4-31 in the
matter of the contribution payable on account
of the Rural Reconstn & the Village Panchayats
and to request you to be so good
as to expedite your reply.

I have the

Yr Obt Servt
N

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBI—M—40,000—20-7-31.

No. C. 4515/29-30

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore,....5th October.....*193* 1

-6.OCT.1931

FROM

S. Hiriannaiya. Esq., M.A. .B.L.
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 6 OCT. 1931 No.....

To

H.V.Ramaswami. Esq., M.A.,B.L.,
General
The/Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Sany 68-30-

With reference to your letter No.G.10728/Sany.68-30-4 dated 27th April 1931 enquiring whether contributions payable by Village Panchayets of Mandya, Closepet and Chennapatna taluks towards the maintenance of Rural Health units can be relied on, at least to the extent mentioned in the statements forwarded by this Office, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, who was consulted in the matter, has informed that the Panchayets of Closepet and Chennapatna taluks can pay contributions to the extent mentioned in the Statements already forwarded. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, has not yet sent his final reply in regard to Mandya taluk. On receipt of his report, a further communication will be sent to Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

No. C.4515/30-31.
one copy

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,
Dated, Bangalore, 16th November *193* 1.

18 NOV 1931
SECTION 8
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
06766
IN MYSORE

FROM S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

9 m 2530

To V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
1 1931
To

Say 68-30-1

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.C.4515/29-30 dated 6th October 1931 regarding contributions by Village Panchayets towards the maintenance of Rural Health Units, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.D.7-98/31-32 dated 27th October 1931, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the former Mandya Taluk (with 7 Hoblis) has since been split up into two Taluks, Mandya (with 4 Hoblis) and Maddur (with the other 3 Hoblis), that the Village Panchayets of present Mandya Taluk owed as contribution (payable as per list already sent) Rs.1,603/- and that these Village Panchayets will be induced to pay this amount towards the maintenance of Rural Health Units. The Village Panchayets included in the present Maddur Taluk are stated to be responsible for a contribution of Rs.1,097/- (as per the list mentioned above). The Deputy Commissioner has promised to submit a separate report about this, and on receipt of it, a further communication will be sent to Government.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

Letter No.D.7-98/31-32 dated 27th October 1931, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your Memo No.C.4515/29 dated the 7th July 1931 forwarding copy of letter No.G.10728/Sany. 63-30-4 dated 27th April 1931 from the Chief Secretary, enquiring whether contributions payable from the Village Panchayets of Mandya Taluk towards the maintenance of the Rural Health Unit at Mandya, may be relied upon, I have the honour to state that the former Mandya Taluk had consisted of seven Hoblies, of which the three hoblies of Maddur, Koppa and Athgur have been since transferred to the newly constituted Maddur Taluk. The Village Panchayets of the present Mandya Taluk which contains the remaining four Hoblis owed as contribution payable as per list previously sent Rs.1,603/-. The Sub Division Officer, Mandya reports that he will induce the Panchayets to pay this amount for the purpose stated above and contribution of the Panchayets to this extent may be relied upon so far as the Mandya Taluk is concerned.

As for the remaining amounts of contributions of Rs.1,097/- payable by the Village Panchayets which are since transferred from Mandya Taluk and included in the Maddur Taluk, the Sub Division Officer has promised to submit a separate report. On its receipt, a separate communication will be sent.

" C o p y ."

Varadaraj

For Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Lany

File No.

Serial No. R

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. G. 4651/4

To

The Rev Comms

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

With refee to correspondence

ending with your lette No. 45-15 of 16-11-3

Date of issue 8/12/31

in the matter of the contribution payable on

account of Rural Health Unit of the

Signed by ... for Secretary to Government

Village Panchets of the Madras Rural

directed

and to request you to be so good

as to ethe site your reply

I have &c

List of enclosures .....

for Govt Secy to Govt

Copied by ...

8/12/31

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Date of issue ...21/1/32.....

Signed by ...Mr. B. Vo. Krishnappa...

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G 3350

To

The Rev Commr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

I am directed to invite ref
~~With reference~~ to correspondence ending
this office G 4657 of 8/12/31
with ~~your~~ letter No. ~~H 515 of 16-11-31~~ in the matter of the
contribution payable on account of Rural Health
Unit of the V. Panchayats of the Madras Taluk
and
~~I am directed~~ to request you to be so good
as to expedite your reply.

I have &c

for Secy to Govt

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G. 6/34

To

The Rev Comm

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 29/1/32

Signed by M. Venkata Rao

Sir

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence dealing with this office letter No. 5350 of 2-1-32 in the matter of the contribution payable on account of Rural Health Unit Kothapeta V. P. of the Madras and to request you to be so good as to expedite your reply.

Thanking you

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

for Chief Secretary to Govt

List of enclosures .....

Copied by 27/1/32

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Leg

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 30/3/36

Signed by .....

No L. 7685

To The Rev Canon

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~attention~~ reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C 45157 29-30 of 16th Feb 32 in the matter of contributions payable on account of Rural Health Unit by the V. Pó of the Madden Dalak, and ~~direct~~ to request you to be so good as to ~~state~~ the date your reply.

I have the

Mr L
26/3

for Gl Secy to Govt

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No.C.4515/29-30.

Dated, Bangalore, ..16th.... February ..193 2

18 FEB. 93 [illegible]

19 FEB. 32

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. REV. SECTT. L. & G. BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE 1062

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

B 280

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.6134 dated 29th January 1931 regarding the contribution payable on account of Rural Health Unit by the Village Panchayets of the Maddur Taluk, I have the honour to state that the report, called for, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, has not yet been received. He has been reminded again, and immediately on receipt of his report, Government will be addressed in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

Subs for perusal
20-2-32

BR.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No G. 8702

To

The Rev Commr

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C 4515/29-30 of 16-2-31 in the matter of contribution payable on account of Rural Health Unit of the V. P. of the Madras Dte, and to request you to be so good as to expedite your reply

Clerk

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 3/5/31

Signed by Mr. M. Venkata Rao

for Sd Secy to Govt.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. G. 10201

To

The Rev Comm.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 27/6/32

Signed by Mr. M. Venga Rao

Sir

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C 45 15/29-30 of 16-2-32 in the matter of contribution payable on account of Rural Health Unit of the VBS by the Madras Govt and to request you to be so good as to expedite your reply.

I have the

ist of enclosures .....

For Secy to Govt

opied by .....

27/6/32

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section 27

File No. 65-30

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. G. 738

To The Rev Canon

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

I am directed to invite refere
to correspondence ending with your
letter No. C 4515/29-30 of 16-2-32 in the
matter of contributions payable on account
of Rural Health Unit of the V.P. of the
Madder Pattu, and to request you to
be so good as to expedite your reply.

I have etc

Date of issue 27/7/32

Signed by [illegible]

for Secretary to Government

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

OFFICE OF THE [redacted]SSIONER.

No.

C.4515/29-30

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd August *193* 2.

-4 AUG 1932

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari. Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

REVENUE OFFICE G 1921

To

R. Ranga Rao. Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY 5 AUG 193 No

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter N 8702 dated 3rd May 1932, in the matter of contribution payable on account of Rural Health Unit by the Village Panchayets of the Maddur Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.D7.98/31-32 dated 30th June 1932 received from the Deputy Commissioner,Mysor District,reporting that the Village Panchayets of the Maddur Taluk have pleaded inability to pay the contribution and prayed that they ma be excused from the payment,as their resources are slender. The Deputy Commissioner has also stated that the Rural Health Unit is doin very useful work and that it is but fair that the Village Panchayets should contribute their prescribed quota of 15% of the income and that he is taking action under Section 47 of the Village Panchayet Regulation to enforce payment of the contribution amount by the several Panchayets.

2. I feel however doubtful about the applicability of Section of the Village Panchayet Regulation to such a case. The Village Panchayet's concerned have not been consulted before hand and their consent taken to incur the expenditure in question to enforce such payment. Further, it will be seen from Chief Secretary's letter No G.13702-3 Sany/48-29-3 dated 21st May 1930 that"the contribution from the Village Panchayets might,for the present, be on a voluntary basis" In these circumstances I am asking the Deputy Commissioner how he

could apply Section 47 to this case and compel the Village Panchayat to make the payment.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J P Majumdar

Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

BB
29/8/32

Letter No.B7.98/31-32 dated 30th June 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your memo No.C. 4515/29-30 dated the 7th July 1931 and subsequent reminders and in continuation of my letter No.98/31-32 dated the 27th October 1931 in the matter of the contribution payable by certain Village Panchayets of the Maddur taluk towards the maintenance of the Rural Health Unit at Mandya, I have the honour to state that it is reported by the Sub Division Officer, Mandya that inspite of the special interest taken by the Amildar, Maddur taluk to induce the Panchayets concerned for the payment of the amount of contribution as fixed at 15% of the income, they plead their inability and that they may be excused from payment owing to their slender resources. The Sub Division Officer, further is of opinion that the contribution levied may be excused as the local needs of Village Panchayets are many as against their slender resources.

The Rural Health Unit at Mandya is doing very useful work. It was the intention of Government that the bulk of expenditure has to be borne by the Village Panchayets and District Board in equal proportion. I am therefore of opinion that the percentage of cost debitable to the Panchayets in Maddur taluk as fixed by my predecessor Mr.K.V.Anantaraman is fair. I am issuing instructions under Sec.47 of the Village Panchayet Regulation, requiring the Panchayet to pay the amount now fixed for the maintenance of the Rural Health Unit in question.

Copy.

For Revenue Commissioner.

BB
2/8/32

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read

Order No.G.[illegible]17-24/P.H.29-32-1,

Dated, Bangalore the 18th August 1932.

The Development of Rural Health formed an important part of the programme of the Department of Health as recognised in Government Order No.G.6680-730/San.55-27-34, dated 28th November 1929. Under that order a Bureau of Rural Health was constituted starting with the staff of the Rural Health Unit at Mandya sanctioned in Government Order No.G.9694-6/San. 7-28-36, dated the 6th February 1929, with the direction that steps should be taken to establish a minimum of about 6 local Health Units every year. Although no new Health Units have been formed since the date of that order, from the experience gained of the working of the Unit in a portion of the Mandya Taluk, Government are of opinion that the scheme of rural units may with advantage be extended to the other parts of the State as well. This, however, requires a large expenditure and it is clearly not possible for Government to incur the whole of it. It is necessary, therefore, that the co-operation of local bodies should be enlisted in the matter. It is desirable that the question should be examined and the nature and extent of this co-operation defined. Government are accordingly pleased to appoint the marginally noted committee to go into the whole question and submit their recommendations in the matter within a month.

1. Revenue Commissioner (Chairman)
2. Director of Health
3. Dr. Sweet, Consultant in Health.
4. Mr. B.K. Garudachar, President Bangalore City Municipality.
5. " A.V. Ramanathan, Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
6. " C.S. Kuppuswamy Iyengar, Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.
7. " B.S. Puttaswamy, President, District Board, Mysore.

J.D. R. Ranga Rao

General Secretary to Government.

To
The Members of the Committee.
The Comptroller to Govt.